Subelliptic $Spin_{\mathbb{C}}$ Dirac Operators, IV Proof of the Relative Index Conjecture

Charles L. Epstein*
Department of Mathematics
University of Pennsylvania

Date: February 29, 2012

Abstract

We prove the relative index conjecture, which in turn implies that the set of embeddable deformations of a strictly pseudoconvex CR-structure on a compact 3-manifold is closed in the \mathcal{C}^{∞} -topology.

1 Proof of the Relative Index Conjecture

In this short paper, which continues the analysis presented in [3], we show how the formula for the relative index between two Szegő projectors S_0 , S_1 , defined by two embeddable CR-structures on a contact 3-manifold (Y, H), gives a proof of the relative index conjecture:

Theorem 1. Let (Y, H) be a compact 3-dimensional co-oriented, contact manifold, and let S_0 be the Szegő projector defined by an embeddable CR-structure with underlying plane field H. There is an M such that for the Szegő projector S_1 defined by any embeddable deformation of the reference structure with the same underlying plane field, we have the upper bound:

$$R-\operatorname{Ind}(\mathcal{S}_0,\mathcal{S}_1) \le M. \tag{1}$$

^{*}Keywords: strictly pseudoconvex surface, contact manifold, embeddable CR-structure, Stein filling, index formula, relative index conjecture, Stipsicz conjecture. Research partially supported by NSF grants DMS02-03795, DMS06-03973, and the Thomas A. Scott chair. E-mail: cle@math.upenn.edu

Recall that the deformations of a reference CR-structure, $T_b^{0,1}Y$, on (Y,H) are parameterized by

$$Def(Y, H, \mathcal{S}_0) = \{ \Phi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(Y; Hom(T_h^{0,1}Y, T_h^{1,0}Y)) : \|\Phi\|_{L^{\infty}} < 1 \}, \quad (2)$$

via the prescription:

$${}^{\Phi}T_{b,y}^{0,1}Y = \{\overline{Z}_y + \Phi_y(\overline{Z}_y) : \overline{Z}_y \in T_{b,y}^{0,1}Y\}. \tag{3}$$

Here and in the sequel we often use the Szegő projector to label a CR-structure. Let $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathrm{Def}(Y,H,\mathcal{S}_0)$ consist of the embeddable deformations, that is, CR-structures arising as pseudoconvex boundaries of complex surfaces. In [2] we showed that if \mathcal{S}_0 is Szegő projector defined by the reference CR-structure and \mathcal{S}_1 that defined by an embeddable deformation, then the map

$$S_1: \operatorname{Im} S_0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im} S_1$$
 (4)

is a Fredholm operator. R-Ind(S_0, S_1) denotes its Fredholm index, which we call the *relative index*. In the proof of Theorem E in [2] we showed that, for each $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and any $\delta > 0$, the subsets of $Def(Y, H, S_0)$ given by

$$\mathfrak{S}_{m}^{\delta} = \{ \mathcal{S}_{1} \in \operatorname{Def}(Y, H, \mathcal{S}_{0}) : -\infty < \operatorname{R-Ind}(\mathcal{S}_{0}, \mathcal{S}_{1}) \le m \} \text{ and } \|\Phi\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2} \le \frac{1}{2} - \delta,$$
(5)

are closed in the \mathcal{C}^{∞} -topology. In fact, we show that there is an integer k_0 , so that this conclusion holds for a sequence $<\Phi_n>$ converging to Φ in the \mathcal{C}^{k_0} -norm.

Combining (1) with Theorem E of [2] we prove:

Corollary 1. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1, the set of embeddable deformations of the CR-structure on Y is closed in the \mathbb{C}^{∞} -topology.

Proof of the Corollary. Suppose that $<\Phi_n>$ is a sequence of embeddable deformations in $\mathcal{E}\subset \mathrm{Def}(Y,H,\mathcal{S}_0)$ converging to $\Phi\in \mathrm{Def}(Y,H,\mathcal{S}_0)$, in the \mathcal{C}^{∞} -topology. We first observe that $\|\Phi\|_{L^{\infty}}<1$.

Let Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 be deformations of the reference structure, with local representations

$$\Psi_j = \psi_j Z \otimes \bar{\omega}. \tag{6}$$

The local representation of Ψ_2 as a deformation of Ψ_1 is given by

$$\psi_{21} = \frac{\psi_2 - \psi_1}{1 - \overline{\psi_1}\psi_2},\tag{7}$$

see equation (5.5) in [2][I]. We can represent Φ as a deformation of any of the structures in the sequence. From equation (7) it is clear that there an integer N so

that, as deformations of Φ_N , a tail of the sequence and its limit lie in the L^{∞} -ball in $\mathrm{Def}(Y,H,\mathcal{S}_N)$, centered at 0, of radius $\frac{1}{4}$. Theorem 1 shows that there is an M so that

$$\operatorname{R-Ind}(\mathcal{S}_N, \mathcal{S}_n) \le M, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (8)

Theorem E from [2] then implies that the limiting structure Φ is also embeddable, completing the proof of the corollary.

Before proving Theorem 1 we recall the formula for the relative index proved in [3]:

Theorem 2. Let (Y, H) be a compact 3-dimensional co-oriented, contact manifold, and let S_0 , S_1 be Szegő projectors for embeddable CR-structures with underlying plane field H. Suppose that (X_0, J_0) , (X_1, J_1) are strictly pseudoconvex complex manifolds with boundaries (Y, H, S_0) , (Y, H, S_1) , respectively, then

$$R-\operatorname{Ind}(S_0, S_1) = \dim H^{0,1}(X_0, J_0) - \dim H^{0,1}(X_1, J_1) + \frac{\operatorname{sig}[X_0] - \operatorname{sig}[X_1] + \chi[X_0] - \chi[X_1]}{4}.$$
(9)

Here sig[X] is the signature of the non-degenerate quadratic form,

$$([\alpha], [\beta]) \mapsto \int_X \alpha \wedge \beta,$$
 (10)

defined for $[\alpha], [\beta] \in \widehat{H}^2(X)$, the image of $H^2(X,bX)$ in $H^2(X)$, and $\chi[X]$ is the topological Euler characteristic:

$$\chi[X] = \sum_{j=0}^{4} b_j(X)(-1)^j$$
, where $b_j(X) = \dim H_j(X; \mathbb{Q})$. (11)

Proof of Theorem 1. Let X_1 be a minimal resolution of the normal Stein space with boundary (Y, H, \mathcal{S}_1) . It follows from a theorem of Bogomolov and De Oliveira that there is a small perturbation of the complex structure on X_1 making it into a Stein manifold, see [1]. Hence it follows that X_1 , with a deformed complex structure, has a strictly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function, and therefore X_1 has the homotopy type of a 2-dimensional CW-complex. Thus expanding the formula in (9) gives:

$$R-\operatorname{Ind}(\mathcal{S}_0,\mathcal{S}_1) = C_0 - \dim H^{0,1}(X_1,J_1) - \frac{\operatorname{sig}[X_1] + 1 - b_1(X_1) + b_2(X_1)}{4},$$
(12)

where C_0 denotes the contribution of the terms from the reference structure:

$$C_0 = H^{0,1}(X_0, J_0) + \frac{\operatorname{sig}[X_0] + \chi(X_0)}{4}.$$
 (13)

The fact that X_1 is homotopic to a 2-complex implies that $b_1(X_1) \le b_1(Y)$, see [5]. As $sig[X_1]$ is the signature of the cup product pairing on $\widehat{H}^2(X_1)$, it is evident that

$$|\operatorname{sig}[X_1]| \le \dim \widehat{H}^2(X_1) \le \dim H^2(X_1, bX_1) = b_2(X_1).$$
 (14)

The last equality is a consequence of the Lefschetz duality theorem. Hence $0 \le b_2(X_1) + \text{sig}[X_1]$, and therefore

$$R\text{-Ind}(S_0, S_1) \le C_0 + \frac{b_1(Y) - 1}{4}.$$
 (15)

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remarks on the Ozbagci-Stipsicz Conjecture: Note that

$$\operatorname{sig}[X_1] + b_2(X_2) = 2b_2^+(X_1) + b_2^0(X_1),$$

where $b_2^+(X_1)$ is the dimension of the space on which the pairing in (10) is positive and $b_2^0(X_1)$ is the dimension of the kernel of the map $H^2(X_1,bX_1)\to H^2(X_1)$. A global bound on $|\operatorname{R-Ind}(\mathcal{S}_0,\mathcal{S}_1)|$, among all Szegő projectors \mathcal{S}_1 defined by elements of \mathcal{E} , is therefore equivalent to an upper bound for $b_2^+(X_1)+b_2^0(X_1)+\dim H^{0,1}(X_1)$, among all Stein spaces, X_1 filling (Y,H). The existence of an upper bound on $b_2^+(X_1)+b_2^0(X_1)$ was conjectured by Ozbagci and Stipsicz, and proved in some special cases, see [5].

The fact, proved in [2], that R-Ind $(S_0, S_1) \ge 0$, for sufficiently small deformations shows that, for such deformations:

$$\dim H^{0,1}(X_1) + \frac{2b_2^+(X_1) + b_2^0(X_1)}{4} \le \dim H^{0,1}(X_0) + \frac{2b_2^+(X_0) + b_2^0(X_0) + b_1(Y) - b_1(X_0)}{4}.$$
(16)

In [5] Stipsicz shows that for any Stein filling of (Y, H), we have the estimate $b_2^0(X_1) \le b_1(Y)$, as well as the existence of a constant $K_{(Y,H)}$ so that

$$b_2^-(X_1) \le 5b_2^+(X_1) + 2 - K_{(Y,H)} + 2b_1(Y). \tag{17}$$

These estimates, along with (16) prove a "germ" form of the Ozbagci–Stipsicz conjecture: among sufficiently small, embeddable deformations of the CR-structure on the boundary of a strictly pseudoconvex surface, the set of numbers

$$\{b_1(X_1), \sigma(X_1), \chi(X_1)\}$$

is finite. The notion of smallness here depends in a complicated way on the reference CR-structure.

Our results suggest a strategy for proving a lower bound on $\operatorname{R-Ind}(\mathcal{S}_0,\mathcal{S}_1)$, among deformations Φ with $\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty} < 1 - \epsilon$, for an $\epsilon > 0$. Suppose that no such bound exists, one could then choose a sequence $<\Phi_n>\subset \mathcal{E}$ for which $\operatorname{R-Ind}(\mathcal{S}_0,\mathcal{S}_n)$ tends to $-\infty$. A contradiction would follow immediately if we could show that $<\Phi_n>$ is bounded in the \mathcal{C}^{k_0+1} -norm.

While such an *a priori* bound seems unlikely for the original sequence, it would suffice to replace the sequence $\langle \Phi_n \rangle$ with a "wiggle-equivalent" sequence. Let M_n denote a projective surface containing $(Y,^{\Phi_n}T_b^{0,1}Y)$ as a separating hypersurface, see [4]. An equivalent sequence with better regularity might be obtained by wiggling the hypersurfaces defined by $(Y,^{\Phi_n}T_b^{0,1}Y)$ within M_n , perhaps using some sort of heat-flow. After composing the resultant deformations with contact transformations, we might be able to obtain a sequence $\langle \Phi'_n \rangle$ with $R\text{-Ind}(\mathcal{S}_0,\mathcal{S}'_n)=R\text{-Ind}(\mathcal{S}_0,\mathcal{S}_n)$ that does satisfy an *a priori* \mathcal{C}^{k_0+1} -bound. Such an argument would seem to require an improved understanding of the metric geometry of $\mathrm{Def}(Y,H,\mathcal{S}_0)$, as well as the relationship of an abstract deformation to the local extrinsic geometry of Y as a hypersurface in M_n .

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Sylvain Cappell for helping me with some topological calculations, and the Courant Institute for their hospitality during the completion of this work.

References

- [1] F. A.BOGOMOLOV AND B. DE OLIVEIRA, *Stein small deformations of strictly pseudoconvex surfaces*, in Birational Algebraic Geometry (Baltimore, MD, 1996), vol. 207 of Contemp. Math., Providence, RI, 1997, Amer. Math. Soc., pp. 25–41.
- [2] C. L. EPSTEIN, A relative index on the space of embeddable CR-structures, I, II, Annals of Math., 147 (1998), pp. 1–59, 61–91.
- [3] —, Subelliptic Spin_C Dirac operators, III, Annals of Math., 167 (2008), pp. 1–67.
- [4] L. LEMPERT, Algebraic approximation in analytic geometry, Inv. Math., 121 (1995), pp. 335–354.
- [5] A. I. STIPSICZ, On the geography of Stein fillings of certain 3-manifolds, Michigan Math. J., 51 (2003), pp. 327–337.